

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexascins, Virginia 22313-1450 www.nepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/787,205	02/27/2004	Hilmar Wechsel	08020.0013-00	4680
60668 SAP / FINNE	7590 05/10/201 GAN, HENDERSON LI	EXAMINER		
901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW			NGUYEN, THUY-VI THI	
WASHINGTO	ON, DC 20001-4413		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3689	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/10/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/787,205	WECHSEL, HILMAR		
Examiner	Art Unit		
THUY-VI NGUYEN	3689		

	THUY-VI NGUYEN	3689							
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address									
THE REPLY FILED 22 April 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.							
application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of App	☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of the application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time.								
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.								
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will be statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO.								
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(26(a) and the engropriet	o ovtoncion foo						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) a set fort in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed may reduce any semed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.									
	liance with 37 CER 41 37 must be t	iled within two months	of the date of						
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).									
AMENDMENTS									
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, They raise new issues that would require further co They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) 	nsideration and/or search (see NOT		cause						
 (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or 	(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for								
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.11	Od Coo officer of Nov. Co.		DTOL 224						
		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).						
 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling non-allowable claim(s). 									
 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro- 		be entered and an e	xplanation of						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:									
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:									
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-6:9-36:40-47</u> .									
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:									
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE									
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 									
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a						
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.						
The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:						
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)								
_									
/Janice A. Mooneyham/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3689									

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant has amended independent claims 1, 9, 13, 20, 21, 24, 31, 32, 40 and 41 to include new limitations which may require further search. The Examiner will need to reconsider the prior art in view of the new amended ilmitations and for perform the new search.